TS172597 ILLEGIB 1 4 MAR 19

MENCRAHELM FOR: Brigation Conoral George S. Brown, PSFF

Office of the Secretary of Defense

SILIECT

: Druft "Statement on Intelligence Debate"

I have reviewed the Department of Defense druft which you transmitted under covering meno of 9 March 1960, in which it requested commurence in its declarationtics.

Although there is nothing significant in the draft statement which has not appeared in positio on some authority or other, further official confirmation appearing in the press does to some extent harm intelligence committy. Thus it should be released only if considerable gain is etherwise to be expected. Farther, I desirt that a brief and guarded statement such as this world contribute greatly to clearing up my contribute which my remin, and therefore recommend against its release to the promi-

Should Secretary Gates' Judgment on this question be, in effect, that considerable gain is to be expected, and should be therefore deale to release the statement, the attached durings are recommended for somerary.

SIGNED

C. P. CARREL General, URAF

OSD REVIEW COMPLETED

XECUTIVE RECISTRY FILE	Praft prepared by O/NE.	25)
4.	Final prepared in office of AD/NE	7
	per She notified to conform hold-back copies.	25)

DCI noted this after DDCI signature

and agreed to release 2003/04/24: CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5
Orig Opinoved For Release 2003/04/24: CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5
Copies rec'd O/DCI: 1-DDCI
DED TO THE TOP OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT

Attachems to 1 120-10 p

RECORDED CLASSES TO STATISFAR OF LETELLE ENCY DEBATE

Last Parecraph on Dage 1. Change to read:

Herein lies the macrical difference between the Mational Intelligence Estimate for this year, which envisages only the one vigorous but orderly type of program, and the Estimate.

Intelligence Estimate for last year, which envisaged the permitality of either an orderly or a "cresh" program.

Pirat personaph on page 2, minch line.

Change the work "confirmed" to "renffirmed".

Last paragraph on page 2, continued on 3. Change to read:

the Sational Intelligence Sationate Last year as a possibility, the figures now contained in the Sational Intelligence Sationate for 1960 and 1961 are significantly lower. If the figure included in last year's Sational Intelligence Sationate for the contents program are compared to those included in this year's Sationate, the Soviet ICSM copability now estimated for 1960 and 1961 can be regarded as recombly comparable in masher to their previous given in the estimate.

Approved For Release 2003/04/24 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5

	Approved For Relea	GNATURF	RECOF	RD ANI	U COVER	CHEEL OTO COLVADA	30000000000	
	Committee of the first of the f					SUCE 1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 1 4
- DOCUMENT D	DESCRIPTION	+ + 1	* *	-	***	7 (80) 40 (1) 20 20 20	1	torn.
SOURCE		. = = ====	· = = =	Ē ÷			CIÀ CENTROL N	# # #
LAK L	The state of the s	<u>:</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ř			THE CONTROL N	,
DOC. NO.	A STATE OF THE STA						DATE DOCUMENT	FCET
COPY NO.	2		_			. 1 1 1	4	ē
NUMBER OF PAGES	21.					,	LOGGED BY	
NUMBER OF ATTACH				- : :	a.00		·	
1 smcl							- :	:
ATTENTION: This ligence Agency	s form will be placed on to or classified Top Secret wi destroyed, or transmitted o	op al and	attache	id to e	ach Top Se	ecret documer	at received by	10 Ce.
is downgraded, o	destroyed, or transmitted o	outside o	f CIA.	Access	to Top Se	ittached to the Secret matter	he document unt is limited to	ton Section
and/or release t	the attached Ton Sound	4		420 20 2	the matter	r. lop Secr	ret Control of	Cars
right-hand colum	d. Each individual who see	es the Top	00101	docume	nt will s	ign and indi	cate the date	y Id go ry hymic E
REFERRED TO	RECEIVED	. 2 1 20	A	RELF	EASEO _		SEEN BY -	
OFFICE	SIGNATURE	. LATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	SIGNATUR	RE AND OFFICE	
ER		12/1	2/40	1 2	Null		the state of the same of the s	
01:	<u> </u>	13/3	1/10	10/	1760			<u>.</u> .i
Jenking		7	160					į
				1-	+			
			<u> </u>		1			:
		- "	1 -!		1 1			- †
		+	+					
			,[The second secon	
<u> </u>	Y .1 2		1	'	1			
			1	1 '	1			:
3				1				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	1	1			
	1		1.			-		1
	_			r				1 -
			, ,	1	.			į
	-	1.	, 7	,				
	- 1 2	1						el a Bernane e
2 - 1 <u>1</u> - 1				,				i
		+						÷
	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	-	<u>-</u>			<i>Y</i>	18
	•	-	(EXEC)	TIVE	REEST.	1 500	(T)	1
		+	7		Alle Live Town	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
100 mar - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		1: }					Į.	
OTICE OF DETACHM	(ENT: When this form is de transmitted to Central Top	etached /	from Top	Secret		1 14 -hall ha	F - 2 Z 2 2	to a median.
		Secret C	oution 1	ioi leco	ord.	. It anall be	complered in t	apj.
DOWN	NGRADED BY (S	Signsture)	-DESTRO	OYED			SPATCHED COUTS	2F C
	, , , ; 15. (3		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			TO		
(Signature)	ووالم المساوعة الما المناف المالد المالد			- 2				

18 26 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS. TOP SECRET



Approved For Release 2003/04/24 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

March 9, 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR: General C. P. Cabell

Deputy Director

Central Intelligence Agency

Secretary Gates is extremely anxious to use the attached draft statement without classification, and has asked that I refer it to you or to Mr. Dulles with his request for concurrence in declassifying the attached draft.

Should this not be possible in its current form, it would be appreciated if it could be re-worked to permit its declassification.

Brigadier General, USAF Military Assistant

Attachment

TOP SIGNET

Approved For Release 2003/04/24 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5



THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON 25. D. C.

March 7, 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARY GATES

The charge has been repeated several times recently that you misrepresented the intelligence information regarding the change in the relative ICBM strengths of the U.S. and the USSR this year compared with last.

We don't know how many people believe this charge; we believe quite a few thoughtful people are confused regarding the conflicting claims in this area and their confidence in the kind of facts they are getting may be shaken.

In the protection of the President, yourself, Mr. Dulles, and the reputation of our military leaders, I believe it important a clear layman's statement explaining this conflict be made. This can be used by you with the press, and by others of us called upon to cornment on these charges.

The attached statement has been revised only slightly since of CIA, with the concurrence of Mr. Dulles, said they would be reluctant to declassify it. Since that time Congressman Mahon has referred to the "crash program", Jack Norris has discussed the matter in some detail in the Washington Post, on the one hand; on the other, the opposition has continued its attempts to damage the prestige and reputation of both Mr. Dulles and yourself.

I would like to suggest that Mr. Dulles be asked to look at this statement again in the light of recent developments and see if either this statement cannot be declassified or if a few word changes cannot be made which would make it declassified.

Attachment

*Attached

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/04/24 CIN-RDR80B01676R000900050005-5

STATEMENT ON INTELLIGENCE DEBATE

Last year we had available to us a National Intelligence

Estimate which gave us the numbers of operational ICBM's which

the USSR could achieve or might achieve in various time periods.

These were calculated to cover either of two possibilities: one, that
the Soviets would pursue a vigorous but orderly ICBM program, and
the other, that they would pursue a highly accelerated or "crash"
program.

This was the basis of the presentations made to the several Committees of Congress last year by the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff in evaluating the Russian ICBM threat.

Because either was possible, we felt obliged in the interests of national security to consider the possibility that the Soviets would do all they could do and we based our evaluation on the assumption that they would proceed with the highly accelerated or crash program.

A year has now passed. Additional information has been acquired and further refinement has been accomplished. Considering all the available evidence, we believe it is now well established that the USSR is not engaged in a crash program for ICBM development.

Herein lies the difference between the National Intelligence

Estimate for this year and the National Intelligence Estimate for

last year.

Approved For Release 2003/19124: CIA-RDP 00B01676R00090005000555 5 5 9 7

Even to the layman who has no classified information but has simply been watching developments in Russia, this should not come as a surprise. The eagerness of Khrushchev to overtake the United States as the number one industrial power of the world is obvious. The Soviet seven-year plan is simply one evidence of this desire. An all-out maximum acceleration program of missile production would clearly require the diversion of resources from other programs to which the Soviet Government has attached great importance. In addition, the Intelligence community has now confirmed that there is no indication that the Soviet Union has embarked upon an all-out maximum acceleration program of missile production.

Some have claimed that the National Intelligence Estimate regarding the Soviet strategic attack capability has been reduced since a year ago and others have claimed that it has not been reduced. The validity of these claims depend upon which of last year's figures are being considered -- those for the orderly or those for the accelerated program.

Compared to the <u>accelerated</u> figures included in the National Intelligence Estimate last year, the figures now contained in the National Intelligence Estimate for 1960 and 1961 are significantly lower.

If the figures included in last year's National Intelligence Estimate for the orderly program are compared to those included in this year's Estimate, the Soviet ICBM capability in 1960 and 1961 could be regarded as about the same in number as previously estimated, or could be greater, depending upon whether one takes the maximum or the minimum figures contained in the present estimate.

However, the important thing to remember is that whether we take the estimate of a year ago or the current estimate, it is the firm judgment of our military and scientific advisors that our deterrent and retaliatory position remains adequate to meet the threat today and in the years ahead. That is to say, we do not foresee a time when the Soviets could launch an attack on us without inviting unacceptable damage to themselves in return.

Approved For Release 2003/04/24 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5 SIGNATURE RECORD AND COVER SHEET DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION CIÁ CONTROL N SOURCE Defense DATE DOCUMENT RECEIV DOC. NO. DOC. DATE 9 Mar 60 #1 GOPY NO. 25X1 NUMBER OF PAGES 1(4t) NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS Enclosure, article ATTENTION: This form will be placed on top of and attached to each Top Secret document received by I-telligence Agency or classified Top Secret within the CIA and will remain attached to the document and I see it downgraded, destroyed, or transmitted outside of CIA. Access to Top Secret matter is lim ted to op S personnel and those individuals whose official duties relate to the matter. Top Secret Control of tear Control and/or release the attached Top Secret material will sign this form and indicate period of custom solumns provided. Each individual who sees the Top Secret document will sign and indicate the data right-hand columns e eive ft-hand i = the REFERRED TO RECEIVED ŘELÉÄSĒD" SIGNATURE AND 3" SIGNATURE DATE TIME -OFFICE TIME 25X 60 DDCI . Ξ aranda de la le le ce c 3 5 NOTICE OF DETACHMENT: When this form is detached from Top Secret material it shall be completed in the spaces below and transmitted to Central Top Secret Control for record. riate DISPATCHED TOE SIDE DESTROYED DOWNGRADED BY (Signature) BY (Signature NITNESSED BY (Signature) Approved For Release 2003/04/24: CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5

Vital Point Ignored on Missiles

By John G. Norris Staff Besocker

ing America's missile posture pon easily can be speeded. rowed." Their aim seemed ing police, rowed." Their aim seemed ing police, rowed." The Retination in the Research on launchers. Democratic Sent the Rissahower Administra. Rational and analysis of the Rissahower Administra.

Getas Jr. ware counting only the year before. The estimated top Government officials in mustle-prediction figures or Soviet edge in total inventory lanuary, 1959, did not give total inventories when they do of mustles is less than 3 to 1.

Clarad introduction figures or Soviet edge in total inventory lanuary, 1959, did not give portant factor ignated when the figure when they do of mustles is less than 3 to 1.

Clarad introduction figures or Soviet edge in total inventory lanuary, 1959, did not give portant factor ignated when they do not shall be set in the figure of sover mustles are still the set in the strength of the set of t

ignored the most important on launchers, Democratic Sent the Elsenhower Administra- Betimeter are prepared by Its basis of semparison—the num- ators on the investigating com- tion budget was adequate. ber of hydrogen-headed ICBMs mittees say without contradicexpected to be an launchers tion, that the intelligence estimater show Russian ICBM suthat intelligence estimates which may be greater than the 3-1 number of ICBMs on launchers.

This emerges from the confusion shout the downgraded perfority in the early 1860s showed that counting only the manufacture of Shall six members.

The same launchers with the launchers of Shall six members. two Senate committees have margin acknowledged last year ers the "missile gap" picture atten had caused miss related to clarify helind and the Defense Secretary had not improved around spend the lavette been trying to clarify behind by then Defense Secretary had not improved.

elarad that month that the miss. Here is where the argument viet missile strength for 1960 he are plant in tentions, at an even hearing before the plant picture, American intelligence and Senate Space Compared age that the Bussiane, if they most "significant" basis for missile program, could have comparison is the number of considerably sore than a large considerably on launchers. Superiority over what the strength was not considered that the superiority over what the strength was not considered the strength on launchers.

comparison is the sumber of considerably more than a 3-1 ICBMs actually on launchers. Missiles not on isunchers United States planned to are far less important because build.

All the very filters of the weathers build.

Last year, the estimate was planned to strength was not considered firm or reliable enough.

This provided an explanation of why Twining did not know what last year's less than firm the ligence estimates reported basis. But this was not entire basis. But this was not entire basis. But this was not entire basis and why he was able to say earlier that the "gap had narrowed."

But Twining also said under the bell rings.

Pentagon chiefs acknowledge in the results of the bell rings.

Pentagon chiefs acknowledge in the results of the proposition of ICBM bases is the limiting factor in increasing United States missile are attached.

This is what Gates and intelligence briefings on Russilmiting factor in increasing United States missiles are attached.

There are Attached the constitutions of the constitutions of the constitutions of the constitutions of the constitution of the co

Pentagon statements declar- day and production of the wee- month that the "gap had nar-telligence listimates, it declar

United Styles

Long questioning of Central Later information and imposed about this, Twin ing said publicly that he had launcher estimated are the first that the U.S.E.R. is unlikely louncher intelligence chart that Dulles gave the Senate to this public to give her year and the point the point of the point the year senated and the point of the senate that Dulles gave the Senate

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/04/24: CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

ib March 1960

Office of the Hilitary Assistant, OSD Room 3E 880. The Pentages Attention: Mrs. Alice Blake

In compliance with request from Mr. Gale of your office, attached is thermofax dopy of "Statement on Intelligence Debate" which was received by General Cabell as attachment to General Brown's letter of 9 March 1960.

25X1

25X1

(Thermo cy# 1 2 Enclosure to T.S. 17 2 594 from as showe - Dia &R- Byhand)

- MEMORANDUM FOR: General Cabell

The Director said he agrees with your approach on this.

He feels that if the subject is left alone for a while it may die down.

14 March 1960

(DATE)

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101